II-3B-19. Rocket Observations of Solar Protons on September 3, 1960

L. R. DAVIS, C. E. FICHTEL, D. E. GUSS* and K. W. OGILVIE*

NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, U.S.A.

To obtain a more detailed of the character of solar particle beams in the range of energies between 2 Mev and 250 Mev, sounding rockets have been used to carry chargedparticle detectors well above the earth's atmosphere during several of these events. The rockets were launched from Fort Churchill, Manitoba, Canada, geomagnetic coordinates 60.7° N, 324.4° E where the magnetic field of the earth does not prevent the entry of low-energy particles. A typical flight trajectory for the Nike-Cajun sounding rocket is shown in Fig. 1. The rockets carried a Geiger counter, scintillation detector, nuclear emulsions, and a magnetometer to provide rocket aspect as a function of time.



Beginning on June 6, 1960, a 24-hour-a-day stand-by for a solar particle beam was begun at the Rocket Research Facility at Fort Churchill. Arrangements had been made with several solar observatories and riometer stations to send immediate notification of a major solar flare or cap absorption event. When the experiment was concluded at the end of November, ten firings had been made into four solar particle beams, and four other firings for comparison purposes had been made during quiet periods and periods of

* National Aeronautics and Space Administration-National Academy of Sciences, Postdoctoral Resident Research Associate. auroral absorption.

During the solar particle event, which began on September 3, 1960, and is generally credited to a flare of magnitude 3 (solar coordinates 20°N, 87°E) occurring at 0040 U.T. September 3, two rockets were shot. The exact firing times are given in Table I.

able I. Rocket nring a	ab	• I.	Rocket	firing	data.
------------------------	----	------	--------	--------	-------

Rocket designation	Firing Time		Performance				Emulsi- ons re- covered
NASA1019	1408	U.T.	Max	altitude	130	km	yes
NASA1020	1730	U. T.	Max	altitude	130	km	no

Reduction and interpretation of the scinitillation counter results for these two firings is not complete, but the Geiger counter results and emulsion results are reduced and will be presented here. The counter data were reduced by Davis and Ogilvie, and the emulsion data by Guss and Fichtel. The results are presented together because they refer to the same event and the same conclusions can be drawn from both.

The Geiger counter used was an Anton 302, placed so that its axis was parallel to the axis of the rocket, and mounted close to its wall on one side. The solid angle to the front, of almost 2π steradians, was shielded by the equivalent of 0.65 g/cm² of aluminum, and that to the rear by a variable but larger amount. Outside the atmosphere, the regular precessional motion of the rocket places a variable amount of absorber between the protons and the sensitive volume. Assuming an isotropic distribution in the upper hemisphere, two points on the integral energy spectrum of the particles may be obtained from the counting rates observed with the Geiger counter pointing first upwards and then down. The angular distribution of Geiger counter rate is consistent with the assumption of isotropy in the hemisphere. The only particles we have considered approaching the apparatus from below, are those which mirror so close under it that their range allows them to make the journey back up again. This correction increases the effective solid angle by about 10%. Another flux value may be found by summing up contributions to the solid angle, when the apparatus is at a depth of approximately 10 g on the way up. The appropriate energy is found by weighting the contribution of each sector by the reciprocal of the proton energy which can just penetrate to it.

The flux values in Table II show that in the energy region 22 to 67 Mev, the flux was the same at 1730 U.T. as at 1408 U.T., but a reduction had taken place in the 200-Mev region.

Table II. Proton flux measurements during polar cap absorption on September 1960.

Energy (Mev)	Integral flux (particles/ cm ² . sec. sr)	Time (U. T.)	Ft. Churchill riome- ter absorption at 30 Mc/sec
22	18.5 ± 1	1408	1.4
67	$7.3 {\pm} 0.5$		
177	$3.8{\pm}1.0$	A L. R	
22	19.7 ± 1	1730	1.4
67	$6.5{\pm}1$		
220	$1.3{\pm}0.6$		

The absence of any change in intensity of low energy protons is consistent with the Fort Churchill riometer observations which as can be seen in Table II showed no change in absorption during the firing period. The decrease in intensity of higher energy protons is in general agreement with the sea-level neutron monitor observations at Deep River, Ontario, which showed a slow decrease during the firing period.

The emulsion section of the payload consisted of a 1-in. diameter cylindrical stack of 600-micron thick llford G-5 nuclear emulsions with the plane of the emulsions perpendicular to the rocket axis. The stack was shielded from the ambient radiation by 0.175 g/cm^2 of aluminum and 0.013 g/cm^2 of reflective aluminum foil and Mylar.

To obtain the proton energy spectrum, the emulsions were scanned so that all tracks from protons with kinetic energies between 13 Mev and 250 Mev within a given solid angle would be recorded. The proton energies were determined from range measurements in the energy interval between 13 Mev and 90 Mev and from grain density measurements in the energy interval between 90 Mev and 250 Mev. In the latter interval, it is not possible to determine the direction of motion of the particles, and a penetration correction must be made for those particles which cross the scan line by first traversing the emulsion.

The analysis of the Geiger counter data from this flight is consistent with the assumption that the solar beam particles were isotropic over slightly more than the upper hemisphere and zero the remainder of the lower hemisphere, and this was assumed in obtaining the unidirectional fluxes from the emulsion data.

With the above assumption, the observed spectrum was corrected in the following way for ascent, descent, and the ionization loss in the atmosphere of mirrored particles to yield the integral spectrum under zero atmosphere. The observed differential spectrum was corrected for background and approximately corrected for penetration. An integral spectrum was then formed by normalizing to the flux at 250 Mev observed by Winckler *et al.*¹⁾ at the same time at balloon altitudes. Because of the relatively small flux of protons with energies greater than 250 Mev, a change of the integral flux at that point



would not appreciably alter the shape of the integral flux at that point would not appreciably alter the shape of the integral spectrum at lower energies, as may be seen from Fig. 2. The resulting spectrum was taken as a first approximation to the integral spectrum at the top of the atmosphere. Using this, the spectra at various absorber depths were computed, and the contributions, including penetration, at each absorber depth, were added to give a spectrum which was compared to the observed spectrum. From this comparison, a better estimate of the spectrum at zero atmosphere was made and the procedure repeated until agreement was reached.

The integral energy spectrum (a) and the differential energy spectrum (b) for protons at zero atmosphere found from the emulsion data, are shown in Fig. 2. The errors on the emulsion points include the uncertainty of the ascent correction as well as the statistical uncertainty associated with each point. We also show the points obtained at the same time by means of the Geiger counter. These were calculated completely independently of the emulsion flux values and spectral slope, and represent good agreement with them.

The results are important in that they are the first direct measurement showing that protons below 100 Mev energy and indeed down to at least 13 Mev are present in solar beams. The extreme flattening of the spectrum at the lower energies may be due to a rather unique feature of this event. Winckler *et al.*¹⁾ have compared the build up in inten-

_anergies meater than 250 Mey, a

sity of solar protons on September 3 as measured by sea-level neutron monitors and balloon equipment flown at Minneapolis and Fort Churchill. This comparison shows that the maximum intensity occurred hours after the flare and the delay was greater, the lower the proton energy. This they interpret as being caused by the passage of the solar protons through a magnetic cloud from a previons flare. The lowest energy protons they could detect, *i.e.* 125 Mev, reached a maximum intensity about ten hours after the flare. The rocket proton spectrum is consistent with this picture in showing that over thirteen hours after the flare there was a deficiency of 13 to 50 Mev protons. By comparison the more recent rocket measurements² made during the 12 and 15 November 1961 events, when the propagation conditions were cleaner, show proton spectra extending down to 5 Mev and lower with little or no flattening below 100 Mev.

References

- J. R. Wickler, P. D. Bhavsar, A. J. Masely, and T. C. May, Phys. Rev. Letters 6 (1961) 488.
- K. W. Ogilvie and L. R. Davis, Int. Conf. on C. R. and the Earth Storm. Paper SR 11-3B-16.

328