VOL. 17, SUPPLEMENT B-I, 1962

JOURNAL OF THE PHYSICAL SOCIETY OF JAPAN

PROCEEDINGS OF INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MAGNETISM AND CRYSTALLOGRAPHY, 1961, VOL. I

The Crystal Structure of KMF₃

Atsushi Okazaki and Yasutaka Suemune Department of Physics, Faculty of Science Kyusyu University, Fukuoka, Japan

The electron distributions in KMnF₃, KFeF₃, KCoF₃ and KNiF₃ have been determined by an X-ray analysis to reveal whether there are any local distortions around the 3*d* metal ions or not. The [001] projection of each compound obtained by Fourier synthesis of the observed structure factor shows that the structure is of the ideal perovskite type at room temperature. In each case, however, the electron distributions around F⁻ ions are elongated in the direction perpendicular to the 3*d* metal-fluorine-3*d* metal bonds. This elongation has been analyzed by difference Fourier projection along [001] based on each of the following assumptions: i) Anisotropic thermal vibrations of F⁻ ions due to the tetragonal symmetry of their positions. ii) Isotropic thermal vibrations of F⁻ ions which occupy such positions as being distributed with uniform probability along a circle, of which axis is along the 3*d* metal-fluorine-3*d* metal bond. The elongation is too small to distinguish which is the better.

In recent years the crystal structures of the antiferromagnets KMF₃, where M indicates the 3d transition group elements, have been investigated using single crystals. At room temperature $(>T_N)$ the structures of KMnF₃, KFeF₃, KCoF₃ and KNiF₃ are of the cubic perovskite type1),2), while KCuF3 crystallizes as a tetragonal modification of the perovskite type^{3),4)}. The distortion of KCuF₃ may be attributed to the co-operative Jahn-Teller effect³⁾. From the view-point of the crystalline field theory it may be expected that the surroundings of Fe²⁺ and Co²⁺ ions in KFeF₃ and KCoF₃, respectively can distort Therefore the detailed structure slightly. analysis using Fourier methods was undertaken to reveal whether these four compounds have the ideal perovskite structure or not.

Specimens on which the X-ray work was performed were formed into nearly cylindrical shape, about 1 mm in length and about 0.1 mm in diameter, by cutting crystals with a razor blade and by grinding with sand paper. Intensity data for MoK α radiation were obtained from integrating Weissenberg photographs taken about [001] using multiple-The ranges of the intenfilm technique. sities measured were about 10⁴ to 1 and reflexions out to $\sin \theta / \lambda = 1.38 (\text{\AA}^{-1})$ were recorded for each compound. The intensities were corrected for the absorption factor as well as Lorentz and polarization factors. No corrections were made for extinction and dispersion.

The [001] projection of the electron distribution in each compound obtained by the F_o synthesis is shown in Fig. 1, where F_o means the observed structure factor and has a positive value because the origin is chosen at the position of M^{2+} ion. In this figure one fourth of the unit cell is shown. The highest peak represents M^{2+} ion superposed by one of F^- ions, while the medium and two lowest peaks represent single K⁺ and F⁻ ions, respectively, in each case.

It seems that there is no essential difference between these four compounds and that all of these have the ideal perovskite structure except for some considerable elongation of F⁻ ions. This elongation may be attributed naturally to an anisotropic thermal vibration of F⁻ ion due to the tetragonal symmetry of its position. But if the centre of F⁻ ion occupies such positions as being distributed with uniform probability along a circle, of which axis is along the $M^{2+}-F^--M^{2+}$ bond, a similar elongation of F⁻ peak will be obtained, even though an isotropic thermal vibration is assumed.

The final $(F_o - F_o)$ syntheses of KNiF₃ and KCoF₃ are shown in Fig. 2. (The following discussions will be concentrated on KNiF₃ and KCoF₃.) Since M²⁺ ion and one of F⁻ ions are superposed and then the syntheses are not straightforward, a trial and error method is used. In the case of Fig. 2(a) the calculated structure factor F_o was evaluated by assuming an anisotropic temperature



Fig. 1. Electron-density projections along [001] at room temperature, (a): KMnF₃, (b): KFeF₃, (c): KCoF₃ and (d): KNiF₃, with contours at intervals of 5 e.Å⁻². Dotted lines indicate 0 e. Å⁻². One fourth of the unit cell is shown for each compound.



Fig, 2. Final $(F_c - F_c)$ Fourier projections of (a), (b) KNiF₃ and (c) KCoF₃ along [001], with contours at intervals of 1 e.Å⁻². Negative region is shaded. The same region as in Fig. 1 is shown. As for KCoF₃, F_c based on the anisotropic temperature factor only is used,

effect for F- ion. The direction of the M²⁺-F⁻-M²⁺ bond was chosen as an axis of uniaxial temperature anisotropy for each F^- ion. On the other hand in the case of Fig. 2(b) the other situation of F⁻ ion described above was assumed. F_c was obtained by using the formula given by King and Lipscomb⁵⁾. It seems to be difficult to distinguish which is more suitable. Therefore in the case of $KCoF_3$, F_c based on the anisotropic temperature factor only is used. The result is shown in Fig. 2(c). The values of the temperature factors and the R factors of KNiF₃ and KCoF₃ are given in Table I. The left and right halves of the column of KNiF₃ are corresponding to Figs. 2(a) and Although the absolute (b), respectively. values of the temperature factors for KNiF₃ and KCoF₃ are somewhat different, the relative values seem to be in good agreement. The $M^{2+}-F^--M^{2+}$ bond has the shortest interatomic distance in this structure and this bond probably has some covalent character. Then it is plausible that the temperature factor of M^{2+} ion and the α component of F^- ion have the smallest and similar values for both compounds.

Figs. 3(a) and (b) show the [001] projection of KNiF₃ and KCoF₃, respectively, where the calculated contributions of M^{2+} and K^+ ions are subtracted from F_o . That is, these figures represent the [001] projections of F⁻ ions only.

The standard deviation of the electrondensity is estimated as 0.5 and 0.6 e.Å⁻² for KNiF₃ and KCoF₃, respectively by the methods given by Cruickshank⁶⁾. Moreover there are a few reflexions in low angle regions

Table I. The temperature factors $(Å^2)$ and the R factors (%) of KNiF₃ and KCoF₃.

Contraction of the second seco	KNiF3 0.64		KCoF ₃	
Ni ²⁺ or Co ²⁺			1.02	
K+	1.03		1.37	
F-	$\begin{array}{c} \alpha = 0.50 *\\ \beta = \gamma = 1.24 \end{array}$	$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0.50 * *$ (r=0.032)	$\substack{\alpha=1.01\\\beta=\gamma=1.60}$	
R	3.7	3.8	6.4	5

*,** Corresponding to Figs. 2(a) and (b), respectively.

 α : A component parallel to 3d metal-fluorine-3d metal bonds.

 β , γ : Components normal to the above bonds.

- r: A radius of a circle on which the centre of F- ion lies (in a unit of the lattice constant).
- $R = \Sigma |F_o F_c| / \Sigma |F_o|$



Fig. 3. Projection of electron-density of F⁻ ions in (a) KNiF₃ and (b) KCoF₃, with contours at intervals of 5 e. Å⁻². Broken lines indicate 0 e. Å⁻².

because of the small unit cell size. Therefore it is meaningless to discuss the outer part of the electron distribution of each atom; for example the shape of the outmost contours of F^- ions in Figs. 3(a) and (b). Nevertheless the elongation of F^- ions normal to the $M^{2+}-F^--M^{2+}$ bond is conclusive.

The elongation of F^- ions discussed above seems to be consistent with the following facts. KMnF₃ has pseudo-tetragonal lattice below 184°K. Beckman and Knox⁷ have reported that the structure of this phase is of gadolinium orthoferrite (GdFeO₃) type. In GdFeO₃ structure anions are not on the lines connecting two adjacent magnetic cations, but on the planes which are perpendicular to these lines and crossing these lines at the middle points. In the case of KMnF₃ the distance between F⁻ ions and these lines is about 5~8% of the lattice constant. These

-c 2nd kind, in contrast to the name

values may be compared with that of about 3% given in Table I as a radius of a circle on which F⁻ ion lies. Although the lattice distortion independent of the magnetic order is found only in the case of KMnF₃, the elongation of F⁻ ion suggests some tendency of a similar distortion for the others.

References

- A. Okazaki, Y. Suemune and T. Fuchikami: J. Phys. Soc. Japan 14 (1959) 1823. A. Okazaki and Y. Suemune: J. Phys. Soc. Japan 16 (1961) 671.
- 2 K. Knox: Acta Cryst. 14 (1961) 583.
- 3 A. Okazaki and Y. Suemune: J. Phys. Soc. Japan 16 (1961) 176.
- 4 K. Knox: To be published.
- 5 M. V. King and W. N. Lipscomb: Acta Cryst. 3 (1950) 318.
- 6 D. W. J. Cruickshank: Acta. Cryst. 2 (1949) 65.
- O. Beckman and K. Knox: Phys. Rev. **121** (1961) 376.

tion of Mn metal. It was first dr-

DISCUSSION

S. IDA: According to my feeling, transition metal should attract the electron cloud of fluorine ion more than the potassium ion does. On your electron density map, it seems that the deformation of fluorine ion is larger in the potassium side. Is it related to the presence of a larger amount of fluorine electrons which are distributed mainly on the transition metal ions?

A. OKAZAKI: The standard deviation of the electron-density is estimated as 0.5 and $0.6 \text{ e.} \text{Å}^{-2}$ for KNiF₃ and KCoF₃, respectively. Moreover, the number of reflexion in low angle regions is not large because of the small unit cell size. Therefore it is meaningless to discuss the outer part of the electron distribution of each atom, the shape of the outmost contours, for example.

E. BANKS: Were your temperature factors determined experimentally by measurements over a range of temperatures?

A. OKAZAKI: We determined the temperature factors experimentally only at room temperature.