

Magnetic Resonance Absorption of Hypersonic Waves in Paramagnetic Crystals

M. E. BROWNE AND W. I. DOBROV

*Lockheed Research Laboratories
Palo Alto, California, U.S.A.*

Magnetic resonance absorption of 9.3 kMc phonons in ruby and europium-doped calcium fluoride has been observed directly at liquid helium temperatures. The spin-lattice interaction in terms of a deformation potential has been used to calculate the transition probabilities for $\Delta M=1$ and $\Delta M=2$ transitions, both of which are allowed. The quadrupole selection rules were verified by their angular dependence. In pink ruby (0.05% Cr) the maximum attenuation observed at 1.5°K was 0.075 per cm., corresponding to a magnetoelastic constant $G^2=3 \times 10^{-30}$ ergs². The corresponding direct relaxation time T_{1d} is 1 sec. at 4.2°K. The experimental technique utilizes piezoelectric excitation of the hypersonic waves in a quartz crystal driven by a resonant cavity.

In the past few years, several workers have discussed the theory¹⁾ of spin-phonon interactions and proposed experimental investigations²⁾. Cross sections for direct spin-phonon processes were first computed by Altshuler, who predicted a measurable absorption of sound waves in crystals at electron paramagnetic resonance if the sound frequency is 10^8 – 10^9 cps or higher. Since the absorption cross section increases rapidly with frequency, a convenient tool to test these theories is to apply recently developed microwave frequency (10^{10} cps) sound techniques³⁾ to experiments of this type. We have made such observations in ruby and in Eu-doped CaF₂.

A lattice strain representing such a hypersonic wave produces variations in the electric field gradient which, via spin-orbit coupling, then cause spin transitions. In analogy with the crystalline field term in the usual spin-Hamiltonian, we introduce the deformation tensor $d(t)$, which describes these variations, and write the phonon-spin interaction

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{S}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{S}$ is the spin operator. In general, each component of $\mathbf{d}$ is a linear combination of the six strain components $\varepsilon_{kl}(t)$:

$$d_{ij} = \sum G_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl}. \quad k, l = 1, 2, 3 \quad (2)$$

The constants of proportionality G_{ijkl} form the magnetoelastic tensor G . By symmetry arguments the elements of this tensor can be expressed in terms of only two independent

constants: $G_{1111}=G_{11}$ and $G_{2323}=G_{44}$ for cubic crystals, and four constants, $G_{11}, G_{44}, G_{12}, G_{13}$, for hexagonal and trigonal crystals. We transform the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(t)$ from crystalline coordinates (x', y', z') to laboratory coordinates (x, y, z), choosing H_0 parallel to z . If H_0 is coplanar with the crystalline x', z' axes and forms an angle θ with z' , the spin operator transforms as

$$S_{z'}^2 = (S_z \cos \theta + S_x \sin \theta)^2.$$

For longitudinal waves propagating along the trigonal c -axis, the pertinent Hamiltonian terms which induce transitions in zero order are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(t) = & \varepsilon_{z'z'} [2(G_{11} + G_{12}) - G_{13}] \\ & \times [S_z^2 \sin^2 \theta + (S_z S_x + S_x S_z) \sin \theta \cos \theta]. \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

Since the operator $|S_x^2|$ has non-vanishing matrix elements between levels M and $M \pm 2$, and $|S_z S_x + S_x S_z|$ between M and $M \pm 1$, transition probabilities for $\Delta M=2$ are of the same order of magnitude as for $\Delta M=1$. They are:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{M \rightarrow M \mp 1} = & \frac{g(\nu)}{4h^2} \varepsilon_{z'z'}^2 \\ & \times [2(G_{11} + G_{12}) - G_{13}]^2 \frac{K_1}{4} \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta, \quad (4a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{M \rightarrow M \mp 2} = & \frac{g(\nu)}{4h^2} \varepsilon_{z'z'}^2 \\ & \times [2(G_{11} + G_{12}) - G_{13}]^2 \frac{K_2}{16} \sin^4 \theta, \quad (4b) \end{aligned}$$

where $g(\nu)$ is the line shape for the spin-phonon interaction and

according to Eq. (4a). This does not invalidate our assumption of the quadrupolar form of the operator which describes the spin-phonon interactions, because at 9.4 Mc the wave functions are quite strongly mixed. On the contrary, when actual wave functions are taken to compute $\langle M|S_z^2|M' \rangle$, the Hamiltonian, Eq. (1), is in good agreement with experiment. Three ruby lines corresponding to $\Delta M=1$ transitions (high field labeling) were observed, but the intensity of the $\Delta M=2$ line was below our sensitivity limit. The sensitivity limit depends on the signal-to-noise ratio of the pulse received and varies from sample to sample depending mainly on face parallelism and bonding. At 1.5°K, the minimum detectable attenuation in the pink ruby studied was 2% corresponding to an attenuation $\alpha=0.0055$ per cm. The largest attenuation observed was 0.075 per cm. From this data, G^2 is computed to be 3×10^{-30} ergs² and $T_{1d} \simeq 1$ sec at 4.2°K⁽⁴⁾. The latter value was obtained by using a mean sound velocity of 8×10^5 cm/sec and estimating α for transverse modes in Eq. (6).

In the event the wave front makes an appreciable angle with the detecting transducer face (a condition unfavorable for absorption detection), any velocity variation at paramagnetic ultrasonic resonance will modulate the pulse amplitude. Such line shapes with characteristically dispersive features have

indeed been observed in ruby.

Attenuation of longitudinal waves was observed in cubic crystals of CaF₂ doped with 0.3% Eu. Here the sound disturbs the local cubic symmetry of the S-state ions leading to a quasi-axial term in the spin interactions. The maximum attenuation (0.12 per cm) was observed when the angle between the direction of sound propagation and magnetic field was near 45° in agreement with Eq. (4b).

Attempts to observe paramagnetic ultrasonic resonance in calcite doped with 0.1% Mn and in irradiated quartz were unsuccessful. On this basis and with our experimental sensitivity, it has been concluded that α in these crystals is less than 0.001 per centimeter.

We would like to thank Professor C. D. Jeffries for fruitful discussions, and H. Nakano for his assistance with the experimental work.

References

1. S. A. Altshuler: *Soviet Phys. JETP* **1** (1955) 29; 38. R. D. Mattuch and M. W. P. Strandberg: *Phys. Rev.* **119** (1960) 1204. R. Orbach, thesis, University of California, 1960 (unpublished).
2. A. Kastler: *Experientia* **8** (1952) 1.
3. E. H. Jacobsen: *Phys. Rev. Let.* **2** (1959) 249.
4. E. B. Tucker: *Phys. Rev. Let.* **6** (1961) 183; the values quoted in this paper have since been corrected (private communication).