Proc. Sixth Int. Symp. Polar. Phenom. in Nucl. Phys., Osaka, 1985 J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 55 (1986) Suppl. p. 702-703

1.75 D-State effects in the (\vec{d}, t) - and $(\vec{d}, {}^{3}\text{He})$ -Reactions on 40 Ca at $E_{d} = 20 \text{ MeV}^{+}$

F. Merz, G. u. F.J. Eckle, G. Graw, H. Kader, P. Schiemenz

Sektion Physik der Universität München, 8046 Garching, Germany

With the improved polarized deuteron facility using a spin filter¹⁾ we have investigated the one-nucleon pickup reactions on 40Ca at 20 MeV incident deuteron energy.

This experiment, with emphasis on high accuracy in the determination

of analyzing powers in the forward scattering angle range comple-tes previous studies²). The new data are shown in Figs. 1 and 2 with full finite range DWBA calculations (code PTOLEMY). The optical potentials have been taken from literature $^{3,4)}$, with

minor adjustments of the imaginary part. The wave-function of the mass-3 particles was calculated in a Woods-Saxon potential with the depth fitted to the binding energy and a geometry ($r_0 = 1.5$ fm, a = 0.5 fm) to reproduce the finite range parameter⁵) β -1 = 1.5 fm of the triton wave function of Sasakawa⁶). The same r_0 and a-values have been used for the ³He wave function.

The dashed curves in Figs. 1 and 2 are obtaiend without inclusion of D-state for the mass-3 particles. For the full line within the shaded area the D-state has been included with a D-state parameter D_2 = -.22 fm²; the shaded area corresponds to -.28 fm < D₂ < -.16 fm² with

$$D_{2} = \frac{1}{15} \frac{\int U_{2}(r) r^{4} dr}{\int U_{2}(r) r^{4} dr}$$







Fig. 2. Angular distributions for ${}^{40}Ca(\vec{d}, {}^{3}He_{o})$; the dashed line is without D-state (D₂ = 0); the shaded area corresponds to -.28 fm² <D₂<-.16 fm²

For the differential cross section and the vector analysing power these curves can not be distinguished; the tensor analysing powers however show quite large D-state effects at this energy. These first calculations do not include a tensor-potential in the deuteron channel, which is known to have some influence, especially on T_{21} ; from previous studies²) this influence is known to be rather small for the forward angles.

The reproduction of the data is semi quantitative. This may be due to some deficiencies in the DWBA or may be caused by higher order effects. But as the over-all features of the data are reproduced, our analysis supports a D_2 -value for the t and ³ He of $D_2 = -.22$ fm² \pm 0.6 fm², in agreement with the observations of Refs. 7), 8) and also Faddeev calculations⁹.

+) supported in part by the BMFT

References

 P. Schiemenz et al., contribution to this conference
N. Seichert, thesis, Munich 1982, unpublished
J.M. Lohr, W. Haeberli, Nucl.Phys. A 232, 381 (1974)
F.D. Becchetti, Jr., G.W. Greenlees in Pol. Phenomena in Nucl.Phys. (Univ. of Wisconsin Press, Madison 1971) 682
A.M. Eiró, F.D. Santos, Nucl. Phys, A234, 301 (1974)
T. Sasakawa, T. Sawada, Phys. Rev. C19, 2035 (1979)
L.D. Knutson et al., Phys. Lett. 85B, 209 (1979)
O. Karban, J.A. Tostevin, Phys.Lett. 103 B, 259 (1981)
Y.E. Kim, Muslim, Phys.Rev.Lett. 42, 1328 (1979)