Proc. Sixth Int. Symp. Polar. Phenom. in Nucl. Phys., Osaka, 1985 J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 55 (1986) Suppl. p. 940-941

4.13

## Spin-flip Probabilities for the 40,48Ca( $\vec{p},\vec{n}$ ) Reactions at 135 MeV

J.W. Watson, B.D. Anderson, A.R. Baldwin, T. Chittrakarn, B.S. Flanders, R. Madey, P.J. Pella<sup>†</sup> and C.C. Foster<sup>††</sup>

Department of Physics, Kent State University, Kent, OH 44242, USA <sup>†</sup>Department of Physics, Hendrix College, Conway, AR 72032, USA <sup>††</sup>Indiana University Cyclotron Facility, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

Spin-flip modes of excitation are known to be important at medium energies. The most direct technique for studying such excitations is polarization transfer. We present here polarization-transfer measurements for (p,n) reactions on  $^{40}\text{Ca}$  and  $^{48}\text{Ca}$  at 135 MeV. We measured  $p_n$ , the polarization of the detected neutron, which is related to the incident proton polarization  $p_p$ , and the polarization-transfer coefficient  $K_y^{\text{V}}$  (Madison convention) as follows:

$$[1 + p_p A_y(\theta)]p_n = P(\theta) + p_p K_y^{y'}(\theta).$$

Here  $A_y(\theta)$  and  $P(\theta)$  are the analyzing power and the polarization function, respectively. Since  $A_y$  and P vanish identically at 0°, this expression simplifies to  $p_n = p_p K_y^y(0^\circ)$ . The relation between the spin-flip probability "S" and  $K_y^y$  is:  $2S = (1 - K_y^y)$ . S is expected<sup>1</sup>) to take on characteristic values which depend on  $\Delta \ell$ ,  $\Delta s$  and  $\Delta J$  for the transition. Specifically, all  $\Delta s = 0$  transitions have S = 0, and "Gamow-Teller" (G-T) transitions ( $\Delta \ell = 0$ ,  $\Delta s = 1$ ,  $\Delta J = 1$ ) should have spin-flip probabilities S = 2/3.

The experiment was performed with the "beam-swinger" at the Indiana University Cyclotron Facility. The average proton beam polarization was 77%. The flight path to the polarimeter was 35 m. The neutron polarimeter, which has an average analyzing power  $\overline{A}_y = 0.275 \pm 0.010$  and an efficiency  $\varepsilon = (2.40 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$ , is described in detail in Ref. 2.

In Figure 1 we compare S spectra for both  $^{40}Ca$  and  $^{48}Ca$  with 1 MeV binning to reduce statistical fluctuations. Note that the region labeled "GTGR" (Gamow-Teller Giant Resonance) for  $^{48}$ Ca, where S has a constant value of 2/3, extends down to about  $E_n$  = 115 MeV, which is 5 MeV beyond the GTGR peak. Our recent analysis<sup>3</sup>) of crosssection data indicates that the continuum under and immediately adjacent to the GTGR is predominantly  $\Delta \ell = 0$ . This observation, coupled with our measured value of S = 2/3 implies that this region of the continuum is predominantly 1<sup>+</sup> strength. Thus we confirm our prior analysis<sup>3)</sup> that nearly 20% of the G-T sum-rule strength is in the continuum underneath and adjacent to the GTGR. Consider now the region 90 <  $E_n$  (MeV) < 105; in this energy interval, S is the same within statistical uncertainties of a few percent for these two targets. This result can be interpreted in several ways. One might argue that <sup>40</sup>Ca is a spin-saturated self-conjugate target and can have no G-T strength; therefore, the identical values of S for  $^{40}$ Ca and  $^{48}$ Ca imply that <sup>48</sup>Ca has no G-T strength in this part of the continuum, contrary to what is suggested in Refs. 4, 5 and 6. Alternatively, one might argue that both  $^{40}$ Ca and  $^{48}\text{Ca}$  have multi-particle-multi-hole ground-state correlations; these must, in fact, be the origin of the two weak low-lying 1<sup>+</sup> states populated in the  $^{40}\text{Ca}(\text{p},\text{n})^{40}\text{Sc}$ reaction. Ground-state correlations can also give rise to G-T strength in the continuum; similar ground-state correlations might produce similar values of S in the continuum. The interpretation we prefer is that QFS (as observed earlier<sup>7</sup>) is the origin of the continuum because the observed value of S  $\sim$  1/2 is to first order the value expected for QFS. Note that S = 1/2 when  $p_n = 0$ ;  $p_n$  should be close to 0 (and S close to 1/2) for both targets because the polarization-transfer parameter " $D_t$ " for p-n scattering near 180° is close to zero.<sup>8</sup>) Considering these three arguments, we conclude that there is no solid evidence in these spin-flip spectra for or against the presence of residual G-T strength for  $^{48}$ Ca in this part of the continuum (90  $\leq$  $E_n$  (MeV)  $\leq 105$ ). We can neither confirm nor reject suggestions<sup>4,5,6</sup>) that the continuum in this Q-value region (-30 to -45 MeV) contains a significant amount of

"missing" G-T sum-rule strength. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.



Figure 1. Spectra of the spin-flip probability S  $\begin{bmatrix} S = (1 - K_y^V)/2 \end{bmatrix}$  for the 135 MeV  $(\vec{p}, \vec{n})$  reactions on  ${}^{40}Ca$  and  ${}^{48}Ca$  at 0°.

## References

- 1) W.D. Cornelius, J.M. Moss and T. Yamaya, Phys. Rev. C23 (1980) 1364.
- 2) R. Madey, J.W. Watson, B.D. Anderson, A.R. Baldwin and P.J. Pella, in Proceedings of the CEBAF Summer Workshop (June 3 to 7, 1985, Newport News, Virginia).
- 3) B.D. Anderson, et al., Phys. Rev. C31 (1985) 1161.
- 4) G.F. Bertsch and I. Hamamoto, Phys. Rev. C26 (1982) 1323.
- 5) F. Osterfeld, D. Cha and J. Speth, Phys. Rev. C31 (1985) 372.
- 6) Amir Klein, W.G. Love and N. Auerbach, Phys. Rev. C31 (1985) 710.
- 7) B.D. Anderson, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>46</u> (1981) <u>226</u>.
  8) P.M. Patel, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>8</u> (1962) 491; W.G. Collins, Jr., and D.G. Miller, Phys. Rev. 134 (1964) B575.